IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA COLUMBUS DIVISION

MICHAEL N. MILES, :

:

Petitioner,

CIVIL NO. 4:12-CV-132-CDL-MSH

VS.

:

Attorney General SAMUEL OLENS

and Warden CLAY TATUM

PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254

Respondent. : BEFORE THE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ORDER

Petitioner Michael Miles has filed a motion (ECF No. 1) expressing his intent to file an application for federal habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He also requests that counsel be appointed to assist him. If Petitioner wishes to pursue habeas relief in this Court, he must (1) complete and file a standard § 2254 form application and (2) either pay the \$5.00 filing fee or seek leave to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee or security therefor pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

The Clerk of Court shall forward Petitioner a copy of the standard form for a § 2254 petition along with a pauper's affidavit and prisoner trust account certification form. Petitioner shall have **TWENTY-ONE** (21) **DAYS** from the date shown on this Order to complete the standard forms and return them to the Clerk of Court. Failure to comply with this Order will result in the dismissal of this action. All documents submitted in this case must show the case number: **4:12-cv-0132-CDL-MSH**.

Petitioner's request for counsel is premature, as he has not even yet filed his

Case 4:12-cv-00132-CDL Document 6 Filed 06/28/12 Page 2 of 2

application. Generally, there is no right to legal representation in a federal habeas corpus

proceeding. Wright v. West, 505 U.S. 277, 293 (1992). Appointment of counsel is

proper in a habeas action if counsel is necessary for effective utilization of discovery

procedures or if an evidentiary hearing is required. See Rules Governing § 2254 Cases,

Rules 6(a) and 8(c), 28 U.S.C.A. foll. § 2254. Appointed counsel is also required if the

petitioner is a death row inmate pursuing federal habeas corpus relief. See, e.g.,

McFarland v. Scott, 512 U.S. 849, 854, 114 S.Ct. 2568, 129 L.Ed.2d 666 (1994). The

Court is not yet able to determine whether counsel needs to be appointed in this case; his

Motion for the Appointment of Counsel is thus **DENIED**. However, if it becomes

apparent at some point later in these proceedings that counsel should be appointed for

Petitioner, the Court will entertain a renewed motion.

There shall be no service of process in this case until further order of the Court.

SO ORDERED, this 28th day of June, 2012.

S/ Stephen Hyles

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE